



The Mississippi Economic Review is a journal published by the University Research Center (URC) of Mississippi Institutions of Higher Learning. The aim of this journal is to bring sound scholarly research to the systemic challenges that Mississippians face. These challenges include any topic that could enhance and/or inhibit economic growth in the state including but not limited to education, health, criminal justice, family dynamics, and public/tax policy. The goal of the research published in this journal is to shine light on issues and policies that will prepare Mississippians for success in all aspects of life. *The Mississippi Economic Review* is a scholarly journal that is accessible to Mississippi citizens from all backgrounds. To maintain accessibility and scholarly integrity, URC asks that author(s) follow these guidelines:

In General

- All submitted manuscripts should contain original work and should not be under consideration for publication in any other journal.
- Always use clear and concise language.
- Include a detailed executive summary at the beginning of the paper. This summary must give a simple explanation of your data and methods, and a brief, but complete explanation of your conclusion and policy recommendations. Ideally, this should be limited to one page.
- When submitting a paper, the author(s) should prepare a separate page entitled “Disclosure Statement” (see details in Disclosure policy below).
- Avoid discipline-specific terms when possible. When these terms must be used, please explain them in a manner that a high school graduate can understand in the context of the manuscript.
- The author(s) of papers involving the collection of data on human subjects must obtain Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval.
- Data sources must be stated in the paper as well as the method of data collection. If you use a data set that is not publicly available and/or you manipulate several variables, please use an appendix to explain data collection and manipulation in detail.
- Resubmissions must be accompanied by a letter to the editor detailing the changes made to the manuscript and responses to each reviewer.

- In general papers should not exceed 20 pages, although longer papers will be considered. Author(s) may use their discretion regarding length, however reviewers may request that papers be shortened or lengthened for clarity.
- All papers should be written in Microsoft Word. PDFs and other formats will not be accepted.
- *The Mississippi Economic Review* reserves the right to reject a manuscript without review if the editorial board decides the paper is not a good fit for the journal.
- Submit manuscripts to scollins@mississippi.edu using “Journal Submission” in the subject line.

Formatting

- Submissions must include two files. One file should be a title page with each author’s affiliation, mailing address, phone, e-mail, the title of the manuscript and the disclosure statement. The second file should include the manuscript with the title, but no information about the author(s). At the time of submission, it is the author’s responsibility to mask their identity on their manuscript. URC will not intentionally reveal author identity during the review process.
- Use one-inch margins, double-spacing, and Times Roman 12 point typeface.
- All reference to literature including references to the manuscript being submitted should be written in present tense.
- All pages, including tables, appendices, and references, should be serially numbered.
- Tables and Figures
 - Each Table and Figure (graphic) should bear an Arabic number.
 - A reference to each Table or Figure should be made in the text.
 - Each word of the title of Tables and Figures should be capitalized.
 - Tables and Figures should be reasonably interpreted without reference to the text. When necessary use notes under the table or figure to aid the reader in his interpretation.
 - Tables and Figures should appear within the manuscript close to where they are mentioned in the text.
- References
 - For in-text citations
 - Use the "author-date" system linked to the reference list. List all authors up to three and use et al. for four or more authors. For example, (Adams, 1987); with two authors, (Adams and Johnson, 1987); with four or more authors, (Adams et al., 1987); with two or more works by one author (Adams 1987, 1989); with more than one source cited together, (Adams, 1987; Johnson, 1990).

- When denoting the page number is necessary within the text, use "p." or "pp." to denote them, for example (Jones, 1987, p. 115).
 - When the reference list contains more than one work of an author published in the same year, the suffix a, b, etc. follows the date in the text citation. For example, (Jones, 1987a).
 - For the reference list
 - Arrange citations in alphabetical order according to surname of the first author or name of institution responsible for the citation. List authors for each citation, even when the previous citation has the same author(s).
 - Please include the full name of each author in the reference list.
 - In listing more than two names in references (Jobs, Steven R., Clark S. Kent, and Martin L. King) always use a comma before "and."
 - Dates of publications should be placed immediately after authors' names.
 - Multiple works by the same author should be listed in chronological order of publication. Two or more works by the same author in the same year are distinguished by letters after the date.
 - When citing journal articles use the format Author(s). Year. Title of Article. *Title of Journal* Volume (issue): pages.
 - You may find more examples of proper formatting for references [here](#).
- Footnotes
 - Footnotes should be used only for extensions and useful excursions of information that if included in the body of the text might disrupt its continuity. Footnotes should be numbered consecutively throughout the manuscript with superscript Arabic numerals. Endnotes must be converted to footnotes in the final version of the paper.

Disclosure Policy

- A disclosure statement should be included even if the authors have nothing to disclose; this fact must be explicitly stated.
- Every submitted manuscript should state the sources of financial support for the particular research it describes. If none, that fact must be stated.
- Each author of a submitted manuscript should identify each interested party from whom he or she has received significant financial support, summing to at least \$10,000 in the past three years, in the form of consultant fees, retainers, grants and the like. The disclosure requirement also includes in-kind support, such as providing access to data. If the support in question comes with a non-disclosure obligation, that fact should be stated, along with as much information as the obligation permits. If there are no such sources of funds, that fact must be stated explicitly. An “interested” party is any individual, group, or organization that has a financial, ideological, or political stake related to the research.

- Each author should disclose any paid or unpaid positions as officer, director, or board member of relevant non-profit organizations or profit-making entities. A “relevant” organization is one whose policy positions, goals, or financial interests relate to the research.
- The disclosures required above apply to any close relative or partner of any author.
- Each author should disclose if another party had the right to review the paper prior to its circulation.
- For published articles, information on relevant potential conflicts of interest will be made available to the public.
- For any paper involving the collection of data on human subjects the author(s) must disclose whether they have obtained Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval; if no IRB approval was obtained, the reason should be stated in the disclosure statement. For example, if the authors have not obtained IRB approval because their institutions do not have IRBs, that fact must be stated.
- If the paper involves coauthors, each should submit a separate disclosure statement.
- The disclosure statement will be available to reviewers.
- Failure to disclose relevant information at the submission stage may result in reversal of acceptance decisions. If the paper is already published, the journal reserves the right to post a note on the journal’s website and in its printed version notifying readers that the authors of the paper violated the URC Disclosure Policy.
- Violations of the Disclosure Policy will be brought to the attention of members of URC’s Executive Board who will decide on the appropriate course of action in each case. URC believes that it is in the authors’ best interest to disclose potential conflicts of interest.
- In cases of uncertainty regarding whether to disclose a particular relationship, a guiding principle should be the answer to the question: “Would I or my institution or a reasonable person be embarrassed if I had not disclosed this relationship and it was subsequently discovered by a journalist, colleague or university administrator?” If the answer to this question is “yes,” the relationship must be disclosed.
- URC’s disclosure policy is similar to that of the NBER. URC encourages authors to visit the NBER website (<http://www.nber.org/researchdisclosurepolicy.html>) for a list of example disclosures.